UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,098	03/20/2007	Mikhail Laksin	S9025.0219	1736
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 09/03/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1633 Broadway	,	SHAH, MANISH S		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/586,098		LAKSIN ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Manish S. Sh	ah	2853		
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	ppears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATU WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avai after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specific - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REPIER, FROM THE MAILING I lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 mailing date of this communication. d above, the maximum statutory perior extended period for reply will, by statue later than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS I.136(a). In no event, d will apply and will ex ute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time spire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEL	L. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to cor 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FIN</b> 3)□ Since this applicat	mmunication(s) filed on <u>01 .</u> <b>AL</b> . 2b)☐ Th  tion is in condition for allowance with the practice under	is action is non- ance except for	formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is, 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is,	re rejected.	awn from consi				
10) The drawing(s) file  Applicant may not re  Replacement drawin	s objected to by the Examir d on is/are: a) _ ac equest that any objection to the ng sheet(s) including the corre ation is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) be bection is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pat 3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/586,098 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ylitalo et al. (# US 2003/0083396) in view of Subbraraman et al. (# US 5710195).

Ylitalo et al. discloses:

- A printing ink comprising: (i) polymerizable material ([0085]); (ii) an energy curable monomer, oligomer, or mixture thereof ([0090]-[0093]); and (iii) a vehicle ([0079]-[0081]).
- The energy curable monomer, oligomer, or mixture thereof, is an ethylenically unsaturated monomer, oligomer, or mixture thereof ([0091]-[0093]), wherein the energy curable monomer, oligomer, or mixture thereof, is in an amount of about 1% to 50% by weight of the printing ink ([0093]).
- The vehicle comprises water, ethanol, n-propanol, iso-propanol, nbutanol, sec-butanol, tert-butanol, iso-butanol, n-pentanol, or ethyl acetate ([0081]).

Art Unit: 2853

• The ink further comprising a photoinitiator, wherein the photoinitiator is in an amount between about 0.1% and about 20% (5 to 15%) by weight of the printing ink ([0094]-[0099]).

- The photoinitiator is selected from the group consisting of benzophenone ([0095]).
- A method of printing comprising: (i) printing a substrate with the printing ink (ii) drying the printed ink; and (iii) exposing the printed ink to an actinic radiation, wherein actinic radiation is IR light or electron beam ([0109]-[0113]).
- The steps (ii) and (iii) are performed sequentially or steps (ii) and (iii) are performed simultaneously ([0112]).

Ylitalo et al. differ from the claim of the present invention is that the ink comprising the solvent-soluble resin, which is selected from nitrocellulose, acrylate, methacrylate, polyester, polyamide, copolymer of styrene and maleic anhydride, polyurethane and epoxy. The solvent-soluble resin is in a range between about 0.1% to about 40% by weight of the printing ink.

Subbaraman et al. teaches that to get printed image with high opacity, with high contrast and good adhesion, ink composition comprises the solvent-soluble resin (see Abstract), wherein resin is selected from styrene resin, and acrylate type resin (see Abstract; column: 3, line: 55-67; column: 4, line: 1-20; see Examples), and nitrocellulose (see Examples; column: 5, line: 5-15). They also teaches that the solvent-soluble resin is in a range between about 0.1% to about 40% by weight of the printing ink (column: 3, line: 55-65; see Examples).

Application/Control Number: 10/586,098 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition of Ylitalo et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Subbaraman et al. in order to have a printed image with high opacity, with high contrast and good adhesion.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 07/1/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that there is no teaching or suggestion in this reference of the presence of an energy curable monomer, oligomer or mixture, which is not persuasive, the primary reference Ylitalo clearly discloses in paragraph [0093] that the ink composition comprises polymerizable and/or crosslinkable multifunctional monomer and oligomers.
- 3. Applicant argued that there must me some reason to extract some teaching from secondary reference and incorporate it into the primary reference, the office action does not propose such reason, which is not persuasive. The secondary reference Subbaraman et al. clearly teaches in abstract that "The quantities of resin are chosen according to the desired viscosity, adhesion strength." and which gives image with high opacity with high contrast and good adhesion. This proper reason to incorporate the solvent soluble resin. Therefore combination of the references are still reads on the present claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2853

## Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Manish S. Shah/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

/MSS/